

Closing Discussion on August 10, 2002

Bruce Taylor:

The Corps is in a fluid situation. RSM is evolving from abstract concept to fundamental change in culture of Corps.

We are beginning the integration of model technologies to RSM. But the District Engineers must support this effort.

Recommends a dual approach to achieve success – from the grass roots plus a planned initiative from Griffin/Flowers to start mandating RSM efforts within the Districts.

Recommends that we develop a plan to get an upper Directive orchestrated.

Donna Ayres:

Recommends that RSM concepts be presented to senior leadership within the next month.

Joan Oltman-Shay:

Supports recommendation for the CERB and Generals to get message to Division level.

Recommends directing some funding to the Division Commander who understands RSM (Gen Madsen) as a demonstration.

Charley Chesnutt:

Perhaps it is worthwhile to consider creating a new category of funding. A large source of funds, not ear-marked for any particular function, intended to manage everything (planning, design, O&M, etc.). Recommends a 3-year demonstration to answer the question: Can we do a better job on managing funds if the functional designation is removed?

Jack Davis:

Supports SAD as an excellent demonstration site because of the support from Madsen, experience of SAM and SAJ, and RSM experience at SAS and SAW

Joan Oltman-Shay:

The upcoming CERB meeting (September 11-12, 2002) is an excellent opportunity to speak with the Generals

We should take advantage of the momentum that SAM has generated in their RSM program.

Charley Chesnutt:

SAM's GIS that was developed through the RSM demo is now "The Corps' GIS"

SAM is training other Corps offices. Any improvements – in any District – should be incorporated in all systems. This work will tie in with the ongoing R&D program.